Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,141	COATES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS J. DAILEY	2152
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>THOMAS J. DAILEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chris Gagne</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 September 2008	Time: <u>9:45</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
·		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12-23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an Examiner's amendment to clarify claims 12 and 21. The proposed amendments simply eliminates intended use limitations in claims 12-23. Attorney apporved the proposed amendments and the examiner's amendment is attached herewith.